



Abstract

We formalize the type system, small-step operational semantics, and type soundness proof for Featherweight Java [1], a simple object calculus, in Isabelle/HOL [2].

Contents

1 FJDefs: Basic Definitions	2
1.1 Syntax	2
1.1.1 Type definitions	2
1.1.2 Constants	3
1.1.3 Expressions	3
1.1.4 Methods	3
1.1.5 Constructors	3
1.1.6 Classes	3
1.1.7 Class Tables	3
1.2 Sub-expression Relation	4
1.3 Values	4
1.4 Substitution	4
1.5 Lookup	5
1.6 Variable Definition Accessors	5
1.7 Subtyping Relation	6
1.8 fields Relation	6
1.9 mtype Relation	7
1.10 mbody Relation	7
1.11 Typing Relation	8
1.12 Method Typing Relation	10
1.13 Class Typing Relation	11
1.14 Class Table Typing Relation	12
1.15 Evaluation Relation	12

2 FJAux: Auxiliary Lemmas	13
2.1 Non-FJ Lemmas	13
2.1.1 Lists	13
2.1.2 Maps	14
2.2 FJ Lemmas	15
2.2.1 Substitution	15
2.2.2 Lookup	16
2.2.3 Functional	18
2.2.4 Subtyping and Typing	19
2.2.5 Sub-Expressions	23
3 FJSound: Type Soundness	23
3.1 Method Type and Body Connection	24
3.2 Method Types and Field Declarations of Subtypes	24
3.3 Substitution Lemma	26
3.4 Weakening Lemma	30
3.5 Method Body Typing Lemma	31
3.6 Subject Reduction Theorem	32
3.7 Multi-Step Subject Reduction Theorem	35
3.8 Progress	36
3.9 Type Soundness Theorem	41

1 FJDefs: Basic Definitions

```
theory FJDefs imports Main
begin

lemmas in-set-code[code unfold] = mem-iff[symmetric, THEN eq-reflection]
```

1.1 Syntax

We use a named representation for terms: variables, method names, and class names, are all represented as `nats`. We use the finite maps defined in `Map.thy` to represent typing contexts and the static class table. This section defines the representations of each syntactic category (expressions, methods, constructors, classes, class tables) and defines several constants (`Object` and `this`).

1.1.1 Type definitions

```
types varName = nat
types methodName = nat
types className = nat
record varDef =
```

```

vdName :: varName
vdType :: className
types varCtx = varName → className

```

1.1.2 Constants

```

consts
Object :: className
this :: varName
defs
Object : Object == 0
this : this == 0

```

1.1.3 Expressions

```

datatype exp =
  Var varName
  | FieldProj exp varName
  | MethodInvk exp methodName exp list
  | New className exp list
  | Cast className exp

```

1.1.4 Methods

```

record methodDef =
  mReturn :: className
  mName :: methodName
  mParams :: varDef list
  mBody :: exp

```

1.1.5 Constructors

```

record constructorDef =
  kName :: className
  kParams :: varDef list
  kSuper :: varName list
  kInits :: varName list

```

1.1.6 Classes

```

record classDef =
  cName :: className
  cSuper :: className
  cFields :: varDef list
  cConstructor :: constructorDef
  cMethods :: methodDef list

```

1.1.7 Class Tables

```
types classTable = className → classDef
```

1.2 Sub-expression Relation

The sub-expression relation, written $t \in \text{subexprs}(s)$, is defined as the reflexive and transitive closure of the immediate subexpression relation.

```

consts
  isubexprs :: (exp * exp) set
syntax
  -isubexprs :: [exp,exp] ⇒ bool (- ∈ isubexprs'(-) [80,80] 80)
translations
  e' ∈ isubexprs(e) ⇌ (e',e) ∈ isubexprs
inductive isubexprs
intros
  se-field : e ∈ isubexprs(FieldProj e fi)
  se-invkrecv : e ∈ isubexprs(MethodInvk e m es)
  se-invkarg : [ei ∈ set es] ⇒ ei ∈ isubexprs(MethodInvk e m es)
  se-newarg : [ei ∈ set es] ⇒ ei ∈ isubexprs(New C es)
  se-cast : e ∈ isubexprs(Cast C e)

consts
  subexprs :: (exp * exp) set
syntax
  -subexprs :: [exp,exp] ⇒ bool (- ∈ subexprs'(-) [80,80] 80)
translations
  e' ∈ subexprs(e) ⇌ (e',e) ∈ isubexprs ^*
```

1.3 Values

A *value* is an expression of the form `new C(overline{vs})`, where \overline{vs} is a list of values.

```

consts
  vals :: (exp list) set
  val :: exp set
syntax
  -vals :: [exp list] ⇒ bool (vals'(-) [80] 80)
  -val :: [exp] ⇒ bool (val'(-) [80] 80)
translations
  val(v) ⇌ v ∈ val
  vals(vl) ⇌ vl ∈ vals
inductive vals val
intros
  vals-nil : vals([])
  vals-cons : [ val(vh); vals(vt) ] ⇒ vals((vh # vt))
  val : [ vals(vs) ] ⇒ val(New C vs)
```

1.4 Substitution

The substitutions of a list of expressions *ds* for a list of variables *xs* in another expression *e* or a list of expressions *es* are defined in the obvious

way, and written $(ds/xs)e$ and $[ds/xs]es$ respectively.

```

consts
  substs :: (varName → exp) ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  subst-list1 :: (varName → exp) ⇒ exp list ⇒ exp list
  subst-list2 :: (varName → exp) ⇒ exp list ⇒ exp list

syntax
  -substs :: [varName list] ⇒ [exp list] ⇒ [exp] ⇒ exp ('(-/-)- [80,80,80] 80)
  -subst-list :: [varName list] ⇒ [exp list] ⇒ [exp list] ⇒ exp list ('[-/-]- [80,80,80]
  80)

translations
  [ds/xs]es ⇔ map (substs (map-upds empty xs ds)) es
  (ds/xs)e ⇔ substs (map-upds empty xs ds) e

primrec
  substs σ (Var x) =           (case (σ(x)) of None ⇒ (Var x) | Some p ⇒ p)
  substs σ (FieldProj e f) =   FieldProj (substs σ e) f
  substs σ (MethodInvk e m es) = MethodInvk (substs σ e) m (subst-list1 σ es)
  substs σ (New C es) =        New C (subst-list2 σ es)
  substs σ (Cast C e) =       Cast C (substs σ e)
  subst-list1 σ [] = []
  subst-list1 σ (h # t) = (substs σ h) # (subst-list1 σ t)
  subst-list2 σ [] = []
  subst-list2 σ (h # t) = (substs σ h) # (subst-list2 σ t)

```

1.5 Lookup

The function $lookup f l$ function returns an option containing the first element of l satisfying f , or `None` if no such element exists

```

consts lookup :: 'a list ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a option
primrec
  lookup [] P = None
  lookup (h#t) P = (if P h then Some h else lookup t P)

consts lookup2 :: 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b option
primrec
  lookup2 [] l2 P = None
  lookup2 (h1#t1) l2 P = (if P h1 then Some(hd l2) else lookup2 t1 (tl l2) P)

```

1.6 Variable Definition Accessors

This section contains several helper functions for reading off the names and types of variable definitions (e.g., in field and method parameter declarations).

```

constdefs varDefs-names :: varDef list ⇒ varName list
  varDefs-names == map vdName

constdefs varDefs-types :: varDef list ⇒ className list
  varDefs-types == map vdType

```

1.7 Subtyping Relation

The subtyping relation, written $CT \vdash C <: D$ is just the reflexive and transitive closure of the immediate subclass relation. (For the sake of simplicity, we define subtyping directly instead of using the reflexive and transitive closure operator.) The subtyping relation is extended to lists of classes, written $CT \vdash +Cs <: Ds$.

```
consts subtyping :: (classTable * className * className) set
    subtypings :: (classTable * className list * className list) set
syntax
    -subtyping :: [classTable, className, className] ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - <: - [80,80,80]
80)
    -subtypings :: [classTable, className list, className list] ⇒ bool (- ⊢+ - <: - [80,80,80] 80)
    -neg-subtyping :: [classTable, className, className] ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - ¬<: - [80,80,80]
80)
translations
     $CT \vdash S <: T \Leftrightarrow (CT, S, T) \in \text{subtyping}$ 
     $CT \vdash +Ss <: Ts \Leftrightarrow (CT, Ss, Ts) \in \text{subtypings}$ 
     $CT \vdash S \neg<: T \Leftrightarrow (CT, S, T) \notin \text{subtyping}$ 
inductive subtyping
intros
    s-refl :  $CT \vdash C <: C$ 
    s-trans :  $\llbracket CT \vdash C <: D; CT \vdash D <: E \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash C <: E$ 
    s-super :  $\llbracket CT(C) = \text{Some}(CDef); cSuper CDef = D \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash C <: D$ 

inductive subtypings
intros
    ss-nil :  $CT \vdash +[] <: []$ 
    ss-cons :  $\llbracket CT \vdash C0 <: D0; CT \vdash +Cs <: Ds \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash + (C0 \# Cs) <: (D0 \# Ds)$ 
```

1.8 fields Relation

The **fields** relation, written $\text{fields}(CT, C) = Cf$, relates Cf to C when Cf is the list of fields declared directly or indirectly (i.e., by a superclass) in C .

```
consts fields :: (classTable * className * varDef list) set
syntax
    -fields :: [classTable, className, varDef list] ⇒ bool (fields'(-,-) = - [80,80,80]
80)
translations
     $\text{fields}(CT, C) = Cf \Leftrightarrow (CT, C, Cf) \in \text{fields}$ 
inductive fields
intros
    f-obj:
     $\text{fields}(CT, \text{Object}) = []$ 
    f-class:
```

```


$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef); cSuper CDef = D; cFields CDef = Cf; fields(CT,D) \\ & = Dg; DgCf = Dg @ Cf \rrbracket \\ & \implies fields(CT,C) = DgCf \end{aligned}$$


```

1.9 mtype Relation

The `mtype` relation, written $\text{mtype}(CT, m, C) = Cs \rightarrow C_0$ relates a class C , method name m , and the arrow type $Cs \rightarrow C_0$. It either returns the type of the declaration of m in C , if any such declaration exists, and otherwise returning the type of m from C 's superclass.

```

consts mtype :: (classTable * methodName * className * ((className list) *
className)) set
syntax
-mtype :: [classTable, methodName, className, className list, className] =>
bool (mtype'(-,-,-) = - → - [80,80,80,80] 80)
translations
mtype(CT,m,C) = Cs → C0 ⇌ (CT,m,C,(Cs,C0)) ∈ mtype
inductive mtype
intros
mt-class:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef); \\ & \quad lookup(cMethods CDef) (\lambda md.(mName md = m)) = Some(mDef); \\ & \quad varDefs-types(mParams mDef) = Bs; \\ & \quad mReturn mDef = B \rrbracket \\ & \implies mtype(CT,m,C) = Bs \rightarrow B \end{aligned}$$

mt-super:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef); \\ & \quad lookup(cMethods CDef) (\lambda md.(mName md = m)) = None; \\ & \quad cSuper CDef = D; \\ & \quad mtype(CT,m,D) = Bs \rightarrow B \rrbracket \\ & \implies mtype(CT,m,C) = Bs \rightarrow B \end{aligned}$$


```

1.10 mbody Relation

The `mbody` relation, written $\text{mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs.e_0$ relates a class C , method name m , and the names of the parameters xs and the body of the method e_0 . It either returns the parameter names and body of the declaration of m in C , if any such declaration exists, and otherwise the parameter names and body of m from C 's superclass.

```

consts mbody :: (classTable * methodName * className * (varName list * exp)) set
syntax
-mbody :: [classTable, methodName, className, varName list, exp] => bool (mbody'(-,-,-) = - . - [80,80,80,80] 80)
translations
mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e ⇌ (CT,m,C,(xs,e)) ∈ mbody

```

```

inductive mbody
intros
mb-class:

$$\llbracket CT(C) = \text{Some}(CDef);$$


$$\quad \text{lookup } (\text{cMethods } CDef) (\lambda md. (mName md = m)) = \text{Some}(mDef);$$


$$\quad \text{varDefs-names } (\text{mParams } mDef) = xs;$$


$$\quad mBody mDef = e \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs . e$$


mb-super:

$$\llbracket CT(C) = \text{Some}(CDef);$$


$$\quad \text{lookup } (\text{cMethods } CDef) (\lambda md. (mName md = m)) = \text{None};$$


$$\quad cSuper CDef = D;$$


$$\quad \text{mbody}(CT, m, D) = xs . e \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs . e$$


```

1.11 Typing Relation

The typing relation, written $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$ relates an expression e to its type C , under the typing context Γ . The multi-typing relation, written $CT; \Gamma \vdash + es : Cs$ relates lists of expressions to lists of types.

```

consts
  typing :: (classTable * varCtx * exp * className) set
  typings :: (classTable * varCtx * exp list * className list) set
syntax
  -typing :: [classTable, varCtx, exp list, className]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-;- $\vdash$ -: $\vdash$ -[80,80,80,80]
  80)
  -typings :: [classTable, varCtx, exp list, className]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-;- $\vdash$ + $\vdash$ -: $\vdash$ -[80,80,80,80]
  80)
translations
   $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C \rightleftharpoons (CT, \Gamma, e, C) \in \text{typing}$ 
   $CT; \Gamma \vdash + es : Cs \rightleftharpoons (CT, \Gamma, es, Cs) \in \text{typings}$ 

inductive typings typing
intros
ts-nil :  $CT; \Gamma \vdash + [] : []$ 

ts-cons :

$$\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; CT; \Gamma \vdash + es : Cs \rrbracket$$


$$\implies CT; \Gamma \vdash + (e0 \# es) : (C0 \# Cs)$$


t-var :

$$\llbracket \Gamma(x) = \text{Some } C \rrbracket \implies CT; \Gamma \vdash (\text{Var } x) : C$$


t-field :

$$\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0;$$


$$\quad \text{fields}(CT, C0) = Cf;$$


$$\quad \text{lookup } Cf (\lambda fd. (vdName fd = fi)) = \text{Some}(fDef);$$


```

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{vdType } fDef = Ci \\ \implies & CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{FieldProj } e0\ fi : Ci \end{aligned}$$

t-invk :

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; \\ & \quad mtype(CT, m, C0) = Ds \rightarrow C; \\ & \quad CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs; \\ & \quad CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds; \\ & \quad length es = length Ds \rrbracket \\ \implies & CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{MethodInvk } e0\ m\ es : C \end{aligned}$$

t-new :

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket fields(CT, C) = Df; \\ & \quad length es = length Df; \\ & \quad varDefs\text{-types } Df = Ds; \\ & \quad CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs; \\ & \quad CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds \rrbracket \\ \implies & CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{New } C\ es : C \end{aligned}$$

t-ucast :

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; \\ & \quad CT \vdash D <: C \rrbracket \\ \implies & CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{Cast } C\ e0 : C \end{aligned}$$

t-dcast :

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; \\ & \quad CT \vdash C <: D; C \neq D \rrbracket \\ \implies & CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{Cast } C\ e0 : C \end{aligned}$$

t-scast :

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; \\ & \quad CT \vdash C \neg<: D; \\ & \quad CT \vdash D \neg<: C \rrbracket \\ \implies & CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{Cast } C\ e0 : C \end{aligned}$$

We occasionally find the following induction principle, which only mentions the typing of a single expression, more useful than the mutual induction principle generated by Isabelle, which mentions the typings of single expressions and of lists of expressions.

lemma *typing-induct*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes } CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C \text{ (is ?T)} \\ & \text{and } \bigwedge C CT \Gamma x. \Gamma x = \text{Some } C \implies P CT \Gamma (\text{Var } x) C \\ & \text{and } \bigwedge C0 CT Cf Ci \Gamma e0 fDef fi. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; P CT \Gamma e0 C0; (CT, C0, \\ & Cf) \in FJDefs.fields; \text{lookup } Cf (\lambda fd. \text{vdName } fd = fi) = \text{Some } fDef; \text{vdType } fDef \\ & = Ci \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (\text{FieldProj } e0\ fi) Ci \\ & \text{and } \bigwedge C C0 CT Cs Ds \Gamma e0 es m. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; P CT \Gamma e0 C0; (CT, m, \\ & C0, Ds, C) \in mtype; CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs; \bigwedge i. \llbracket i < length es \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (es!i) \\ & (Cs!i); CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds; length es = length Ds \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (\text{MethodInvk } e0\ m\ es) C \end{aligned}$$

```

and  $\bigwedge C CT Cs Df Ds \Gamma es . \llbracket (CT, C, Df) \in FJDefs.fields; length es = length Df; varDefs-types Df = Ds; CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs; \bigwedge i . \llbracket i < length es \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (es!i) (Cs!i); CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (New C es) C$ 
and  $\bigwedge C CT D \Gamma e0 . \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; P CT \Gamma e0 D; CT \vdash D <: C \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (Cast C e0) C$ 
and  $\bigwedge C CT D \Gamma e0 . \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; P CT \Gamma e0 D; CT \vdash C <: D; C \neq D \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (Cast C e0) C$ 
and  $\bigwedge C CT D \Gamma e0 . \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; P CT \Gamma e0 D; CT \vdash C \neg<: D; CT \vdash D \neg<: C \rrbracket \implies P CT \Gamma (Cast C e0) C$ 
shows  $P CT \Gamma e C (\text{is } ?P)$ 
proof -
  let  $?IH = CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs \longrightarrow (\forall i < length es . P CT \Gamma (es!i) (Cs!i))$ 
  have  $?IH \wedge (?T \longrightarrow ?P)$ 
proof(induct rule: typings-typing.induct)
  case (ts-nil  $CT \Gamma$ ) show  $?case$  by auto
next
  case (ts-cons  $C0 CT Cs \Gamma e0 es$ )
    show  $?case$  proof
      fix  $i$ 
      show  $i < length (e0 \# es) \longrightarrow P CT \Gamma ((e0 \# es)!i) ((C0 \# Cs)!i)$  using ts-cons
    by (cases  $i$ , auto)
    qed
next
  case (t-field  $C0 CT Cf e0 fDef fi$ ) show  $?case$  using prems by auto
next
  case (t-invk  $C C0 CT Cs Ds \Gamma e0 es m$ ) show  $?case$  using prems by auto
next
  case (t-new  $C CT D \Gamma e0$ ) show  $?case$  using prems by auto
next
  case (t-ucast  $C CT \Gamma e0$ ) show  $?case$  using prems by auto
next
  case (t-dcast  $C CT \Gamma e0$ ) show  $?case$  using prems by auto
next
  case (t-scast  $C CT \Gamma e0$ ) show  $?case$  using prems by auto
qed
thus  $?thesis$  using prems by auto
qed

```

1.12 Method Typing Relation

A method definition md , declared in a class C , is well-typed, written $CT \vdash mdOK \text{ IN } C$ if its body is well-typed and it has the same type (i.e., overrides) any method with the same name declared in the superclass of C .

```

consts method-typing :: (classTable * methodDef * className) set
  method-typings :: (classTable * methodDef list * className) set
syntax
  -method-typing :: [classTable, methodDef, className]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash$  - OK IN - [80,80,80] 80)

```

-method-typings :: [classTable, methodDef list, className] \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - OK IN
- [80,80,80] 80)

translations

$$CT \vdash md \text{ OK IN } C \Leftrightarrow (CT, md, C) \in \text{method-typing}$$

$$CT \vdash+ mds \text{ OK IN } C \Leftrightarrow (CT, mds, C) \in \text{method-typings}$$

inductive method-typing

intros

m-typing:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT(C) = \text{Some}(CDef); \\ & \quad cName\ CDef = C; \\ & \quad cSuper\ CDef = D; \\ & \quad mName\ mDef = m; \\ & \quad \text{lookup } (\text{cMethods } CDef) (\lambda md. (mName\ md = m)) = \text{Some}(mDef); \\ & \quad mReturn\ mDef = C0; mParams\ mDef = Cxs; mBody\ mDef = e0; \\ & \quad \text{varDefs-types } Cxs = Cs; \\ & \quad \text{varDefs-names } Cxs = xs; \\ & \quad \Gamma = (\text{map-upds empty } xs\ Cs)(\text{this} \mapsto C); \\ & \quad CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : E0; \\ & \quad CT \vdash E0 <: C0; \\ & \quad \forall Ds\ D0. (mtype(CT, m, D) = Ds \rightarrow D0) \longrightarrow (Cs = Ds \wedge C0 = D0) \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash mDef \text{ OK IN } C \end{aligned}$$

inductive method-typings

intros

ms-nil :

$$CT \vdash+ [] \text{ OK IN } C$$

ms-cons :

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash m \text{ OK IN } C; \\ & \quad CT \vdash+ ms \text{ OK IN } C \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash+ (m \# ms) \text{ OK IN } C \end{aligned}$$

1.13 Class Typing Relation

A class definition *cd* is well-typed, written $CT \vdash cd \text{OK}$ if its constructor initializes each field, and all of its methods are well-typed.

consts class-typing :: (classTable * classDef) set

syntax

$$-\text{class-typing} :: [\text{classTable}, \text{classDef}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (- \vdash - \text{OK} [80,80] 80)$$

translations

$$CT \vdash cd \text{ OK} \Leftrightarrow (CT, cd) \in \text{class-typing}$$

inductive class-typing

intros

$$\begin{aligned} t\text{-class}: & \llbracket cName\ CDef = C; \\ & \quad cSuper\ CDef = D; \\ & \quad cConstructor\ CDef = KDef; \\ & \quad cMethods\ CDef = M; \end{aligned}$$

```

kName KDef = C;
kParams KDef = (Dg@Cf);
kSuper KDef = varDefs-names Dg;
kInits KDef = varDefs-names Cf;
fields(CT,D) = Dg;
CT ⊢+ M OK IN C ]
⇒ CT ⊢ CDef OK

```

1.14 Class Table Typing Relation

A class table is well-typed, written $CT \text{ OK}$ if for every class name C , the class definition mapped to by CT is well-typed and has name C .

```

consts ct-typing :: classTable set
syntax
-ct-typing :: classTable ⇒ bool (- OK 80)
translations
CT OK ⇔ CT ∈ ct-typing
inductive ct-typing
intros
ct-all-ok:
 $\llbracket Object \notin \text{dom}(CT);$ 
 $\forall C \text{ CDef}. CT(C) = \text{Some}(CDef) \longrightarrow (CT \vdash CDef \text{ OK}) \wedge (cName CDef = C) \rrbracket$ 
⇒ CT OK

```

1.15 Evaluation Relation

The single-step and multi-step evaluation relations are written $CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'$ and $CT \vdash e \rightarrow^* e'$ respectively.

```

consts reduction :: (classTable * exp * exp) set
syntax
-reduction :: [classTable, exp, exp] ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - → - [80,80,80] 80)

```

```

translations
CT ⊢ e → e' ⇔ (CT, e, e') ∈ reduction
inductive reduction
intros

```

```

r-field:
 $\llbracket \text{fields}(CT, C) = Cf;$ 
 $\text{lookup2 } Cf \text{ es } (\lambda fd. (\text{vdName } fd = fi)) = \text{Some}(ei) \rrbracket$ 
⇒ CT ⊢ FieldProj (New C es) fi → ei

```

```

r-inv:
 $\llbracket \text{mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs . e0;$ 
 $\text{subs} ((\text{map-upds empty } xs \text{ ds})(\text{this} \mapsto (\text{New } C \text{ es}))) \ e0 = e0' \rrbracket$ 
⇒ CT ⊢ MethodInvk (New C es) m ds → e0'

```

r-cast:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash C <: D \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash \text{Cast } D (\text{New } C es) \rightarrow \text{New } C es \end{aligned}$$

rc-field:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash e0 \rightarrow e0' \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash \text{FieldProj } e0 f \rightarrow \text{FieldProj } e0' f \end{aligned}$$

rc-recv:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash e0 \rightarrow e0' \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash \text{MethodInvk } e0 m es \rightarrow \text{MethodInvk } e0' m es \end{aligned}$$

rc-arg:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash ei \rightarrow ei' \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash \text{MethodInvk } e0 m (el@ei#er) \rightarrow \text{MethodInvk } e0 m (el@ei'#er) \end{aligned}$$

rc-new-arg:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash ei \rightarrow ei' \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash \text{New } C (el@ei#er) \rightarrow \text{New } C (el@ei'#er) \end{aligned}$$

rc-cast:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket CT \vdash e0 \rightarrow e0' \rrbracket \\ & \implies CT \vdash \text{Cast } C e0 \rightarrow \text{Cast } C e0' \end{aligned}$$

consts *reductions* :: (*classTable* * *exp* * *exp*) set

syntax

$$-reductions :: [\text{classTable}, \text{exp}, \text{exp}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\vdash - \rightarrow* - [80,80,80] 80)$$

translations

$$CT \vdash e \rightarrow* e' \Leftrightarrow (CT, e, e') \in \text{reductions}$$

inductive *reductions*

intros

rs-refl: $CT \vdash e \rightarrow* e$

rs-trans: $\llbracket CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'; CT \vdash e' \rightarrow* e'' \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash e \rightarrow* e''$

end

2 FJAux: Auxiliary Lemmas

theory *FJAux* **imports** *FJDefs*
begin

2.1 Non-FJ Lemmas

2.1.1 Lists

lemma *mem-ith*:

assumes $ei \in \text{set } es$

shows $\exists el er. es = el@ei#er$

```

using prems
proof(induct es)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons esh est)
  { assume esh = ei
    with Cons have ?case by blast
  } moreover {
    assume esh ≠ ei
    with Cons have ei ∈ set est by auto
    with Cons obtain el er where esh # est = (esh#el) @ (ei#er) by auto
    hence ?case by blast }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

lemma ith-mem: ∀ i. [| i < length es |] ⇒ es!i ∈ set es
proof(induct es)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons h t) thus ?case by(cases i, auto)
qed

```

2.1.2 Maps

```

lemma map-shuffle:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows [xs[→]ys,x→y] = [(xs@[x])[→](ys@[y])]
  using prems
proof(induct xs ys rule:list-induct2,
      auto simp add:map-upds-append1)
qed

lemma map-upds-index:
  assumes length xs = length As
  and [xs[→]As]x = Some Ai
  shows ∃ i.(As!i = Ai)
    ∧ (i < length As)
    ∧ (∀(Bs::'c list).((length Bs = length As)
      → ([xs[→]Bs] x = Some (Bs !i))))
  (is ∃ i. ?P i xs As
    is ∃ i.(?P1 i As) ∧ (?P2 i As) ∧ (∀(Bs::('c list)).(?P3 i xs As Bs)))
using prems proof(induct xs As rule:list-induct2)
assume [][] x = Some Ai
moreover have ¬[][] x = Some Ai by auto
ultimately show ∃ i. ?P i [] [] by contradiction
next
fix xa xs y ys
assume length-xs-ys: length xs = length ys
and IH: [xs [→] ys] x = Some Ai ⇒ ∃ i. ?P i xs ys

```

```

and map-eq-Some:  $[xa \# xs \rightarrow] y \# ys] x = Some Ai$ 
from prems have map-decomp:  $[xa \# xs \rightarrow] y \# ys] = [xa \rightarrow y] ++ [xs \rightarrow] ys$  by
fastsimp
from length-xs-ys IH map-eq-Some show  $\exists i. ?P i (xa \# xs) (y \# ys)$ 
proof(cases  $[xs \rightarrow] ys] x$ )
  case(Some Ai')
    hence  $([xa \rightarrow y] ++ [xs \rightarrow] ys]) x = Some Ai'$  by(rule map-add-find-right)
    hence P:  $[xs \rightarrow] ys] x = Some Ai$  using prems by simp
  from IH[OF P] obtain i where
    R1:  $ys ! i = Ai$ 
    and R2:  $i < length ys$ 
    and pre-r3:  $\forall (Bs :: 'c list). ?P3 i xs ys Bs$  by fastsimp
  { fix Bs :: 'c list
    assume length-Bs:  $length Bs = length (y \# ys)$ 
    then obtain n where  $length (y \# ys) = Suc n$  by auto
    with length-Bs obtain b bs where Bs-def:  $Bs = b \# bs$  by (auto simp add:length-Suc-conv)
    with length-Bs have length ys = length bs by simp
    with pre-r3 have  $([xa \rightarrow b] ++ [xs \rightarrow] bs) x = Some (bs ! i)$  by (auto simp
only:map-add-find-right)
    with pre-r3 Bs-def length-Bs have ?P3 (i+1) (xa # xs) (y # ys) Bs by simp }
    with R1 R2 have ?P (i+1) (xa # xs) (y # ys) by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
  case None
    with map-decomp have  $[xa \rightarrow y] x = Some Ai$  using prems by (auto simp
only:map-add-SomeD)
    hence ai-def:  $y = Ai$  and x-eq-xa:  $x = xa$  by (auto simp only:map-upd-Some-unfold)

  { fix Bs :: 'c list
    assume length-Bs:  $length Bs = length (y \# ys)$ 
    then obtain n where  $length (y \# ys) = Suc n$  by auto
    with length-Bs obtain b bs where Bs-def:  $Bs = b \# bs$  by (auto simp add:length-Suc-conv)
    with length-Bs have length ys = length bs by simp
    hence dom([xs \rightarrow] ys) = dom([xs \rightarrow] bs) by auto
    with None have  $[xs \rightarrow] bs x = None$  by (auto simp only:domIff)
    moreover from x-eq-xa have sing-map:  $[xa \rightarrow b] x = Some b$  by (auto simp
only:map-upd-Some-unfold)
    ultimately have  $([xa \rightarrow b] ++ [xs \rightarrow] bs) x = Some b$  by (auto simp only:map-add-Some-iff)
    with Bs-def have ?P3 0 (xa # xs) (y # ys) Bs by simp }
    with ai-def have ?P 0 (xa # xs) (y # ys) by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed

```

2.2 FJ Lemmas

2.2.1 Substitution

```

lemma subst-list1-eq-map-substs :
   $\forall \sigma. subst\text{-}list1 \sigma l = map (substs \sigma) l$ 

```

```

by (induct l, simp-all)

lemma subst-list2-eq-map-substs :
   $\forall \sigma. \text{subst-list2 } \sigma l = \text{map} (\text{substs } \sigma) l$ 
  by (induct l, simp-all)

```

2.2.2 Lookup

```

lemma lookup-functional:
  assumes lookup l f = o1
  and lookup l f = o2
  shows o1 = o2
  using prems by(induct l, auto)

lemma lookup-true:
  lookup l f = Some r  $\implies$  f r
  proof(induct l)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons h t) thus ?case by(cases f h, auto simp add:lookup.simps)
  qed

lemma lookup-hd:
   $\llbracket \text{length } l > 0; f(l!0) \rrbracket \implies \text{lookup } l f = \text{Some}(l!0)$ 
  proof(induct l, auto)
  qed

lemma lookup-split: lookup l f = None  $\vee$  ( $\exists h. \text{lookup } l f = \text{Some } h$ )
  by (induct l, simp-all)

lemma lookup-index:
  assumes lookup l1 f = Some e
  shows  $\bigwedge l2. \exists i < (\text{length } l1). e = l1!i \wedge ((\text{length } l1 = \text{length } l2) \longrightarrow \text{lookup2}_{l1\ l2\ f} = \text{Some}(l2!i))$ 
  using prems
  proof(induct l1)
    case Nil thus ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons h1 t1)
    { assume asm:f h1
      hence  $0 < \text{length}(h1 \# t1) \wedge e = (h1 \# t1)!0$ 
      using prems by(auto simp add:lookup.simps)
      moreover {
        assume  $\text{length}(h1 \# t1) = \text{length } l2$ 
        hence  $\text{length } l2 = \text{Suc}(\text{length } t1)$  by auto
        then obtain h2 t2 where l2-def:l2 = h2#t2 by(auto simp add:length-Suc-conv)
        hence  $\text{lookup2}(h1 \# t1) l2 f = \text{Some}(l2 ! 0)$  using asm by(auto simp: add
        lookup2.simps)
      }
    }

```

```

ultimately have ?case by auto
} moreover {
assume asm: $\neg(f h1)$ 
hence lookup t1 f = Some e
using prems by (auto simp add:lookup.simps)
then obtain i where
i < length t1
and e = t1 ! i
and ih:(length t1 = length (tl l2)  $\longrightarrow$  lookup2 t1 (tl l2) f = Some ((tl l2) !
i))
using prems by blast
hence Suc i < length (h1#t1)  $\wedge$  e = (h1#t1)!(Suc i) using prems by auto
moreover {
assume length (h1 # t1) = length l2
hence lens:length l2 = Suc (length t1) by auto
then obtain h2 t2 where l2-def:l2 = h2#t2 by (auto simp add: length-Suc-conv)
hence lookup2 t1 t2 f = Some (t2 ! i) using ih l2-def lens by auto
hence lookup2 (h1 # t1) t2 f = Some (l2!(Suc i))
using asm l2-def by(auto simp: add lookup2.simps)
}
ultimately have ?case by auto
}
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma lookup2-index:
 $\bigwedge l2. \llbracket \text{lookup2 } l1 \text{ } l2 \text{ } f = \text{Some } e; \\ \text{length } l1 = \text{length } l2 \rrbracket \implies \exists i < (\text{length } l2). \text{e} = (l2!i) \wedge \text{lookup } l1 \text{ } f = \text{Some } (l1!i)$ 
proof(induct l1)
case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
case (Cons h1 t1)
hence length l2 = Suc (length t1) using prems by auto
then obtain h2 t2 where l2-def:l2 = h2#t2 by (auto simp add: length-Suc-conv)
{ assume asm:f h1
hence e = h2 using prems by (auto simp add:lookup2.simps)
hence 0 < length (h2#t2)  $\wedge$  e = (h2#t2) ! 0  $\wedge$  lookup (h1 # t1) f = Some ((h1 # t1) ! 0)
using asm by (auto simp add:lookup.simps)
hence ?case using l2-def by auto
} moreover {
assume asm: $\neg(f h1)$ 
hence  $\exists i < \text{length } t2. \text{e} = t2 ! i \wedge \text{lookup } t1 \text{ } f = \text{Some } (t1 ! i)$  using prems
l2-def by auto
then obtain i where i < length t2  $\wedge$  e = t2 ! i  $\wedge$  lookup t1 f = Some (t1 !
i) by auto
hence (Suc i) < length(h2#t2)  $\wedge$  e = ((h2#t2) ! (Suc i))  $\wedge$  lookup (h1#t1)
f = Some ((h1#t1) ! (Suc i))
}

```

```

    using asm by (force simp add: lookup.simps)
    hence ?case using l2-def by auto
}
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma lookup-append:
assumes lookup lf = Some r
shows lookup (l@l') f = Some r
using prems by(induct l, auto)

lemma method-typings-lookup:
assumes lookup-eq-Some: lookup M f = Some mDef
and M-ok: CT ⊢+ M OK IN C
shows CT ⊢ mDef OK IN C
using lookup-eq-Some M-ok
proof(induct M)
  case Nil thus ?case by fastsimp
next
  case (Cons h t) thus ?case by(cases f h, auto elim:method-typings.elims simp
add:lookup.simps)
qed

```

2.2.3 Functional

These lemmas prove that several relations are actually functions

```

lemma mtype-functional:
assumes mtype(CT,m,C) = Cs → C0
and mtype(CT,m,C) = Ds → D0
shows Ds = Cs ∧ D0 = C0
using prems by(induct, auto elim:mtype.elims)

lemma mbody-functional:
assumes mb1: mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e0
and mb2: mbody(CT,m,C) = ys . d0
shows xs = ys ∧ e0 = d0
using prems by(induct, auto elim:mbody.elims)

lemma fields-functional:
assumes fields(CT,C) = Cf
and CT OK
shows ∃ Cf'. [fields(CT,C) = Cf] ⇒ Cf = Cf'
using prems proof(induct)
  case (f-obj CT)
  hence CT(Object) = None by (auto elim: ct-typing.elims)
  thus ?case using f-obj by (auto elim: fields.elims)
next
  case (f-class C CDef CT Cf D Dg DgCf DgCf')
  hence f-class-inv:

```

```

 $(CT \ C = Some \ CDef) \wedge (cSuper \ CDef = D) \wedge (cFields \ CDef = Cf)$ 
and  $CT \ OK$  by fastsimp  

hence  $c\text{-not-}obj:C \neq Object$  by (force elim:ct-typing.elims)  

from  $f\text{-class}$  have  $\text{flds:fields}(CT,C) = DgCf'$  by fastsimp  

then obtain  $Dg'$  where  

   $\text{fields}(CT,D) = Dg'$   

  and  $DgCf' = Dg' @ Cf$   

  using  $f\text{-class-inv } c\text{-not-}obj$  by (auto elim:fields.elims)  

hence  $Dg' = Dg$  using  $f\text{-class}$  by auto  

thus  $?case$  using prems by force  

qed

```

2.2.4 Subtyping and Typing

```

lemma typings-lengths: assumes  $CT;\Gamma \vdash+ es:Cs$  shows  $\text{length } es = \text{length } Cs$   

using prems by (induct es Cs, auto elim:typings-typing.elims)

```

```

lemma typings-index:  

assumes  $CT;\Gamma \vdash+ es:Cs$   

shows  $\bigwedge i. [\![ i < \text{length } es ]\!] \implies CT;\Gamma \vdash (es!i) : (Cs!i)$   

proof –  

have  $\text{length } es = \text{length } Cs$  using prems by (auto simp: typings-lengths)  

thus  $\bigwedge i. [\![ i < \text{length } es ]\!] \implies CT;\Gamma \vdash (es!i) : (Cs!i)$   

using prems proof (induct es Cs rule:list-induct2)  

case 1 thus  $?case$  by auto  

next  

case (2 esh est hCs tCs i)  

thus  $?case$  by (cases i, auto elim:typings-typing.elims)  

qed  

qed

```

```

lemma subtypings-index:  

assumes  $CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$   

shows  $\bigwedge i. [\![ i < \text{length } Cs ]\!] \implies CT \vdash (Cs!i) <: (Ds!i)$   

using prems proof (induct)  

case ss-nil thus  $?case$  by auto  

next  

case (ss-cons hCs CT tCs hDs tDs i)  

thus  $?case$  by (cases i, auto)

```

```

qed

lemma subtyping-append:  

assumes  $CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$   

and  $CT \vdash C <: D$   

shows  $CT \vdash+ (Cs@[C]) <: (Ds@[D])$   

using prems  

proof (induct rule:subtypings.induct,  

auto simp add:subtypings.intros elim:subtypings.elims)

```

qed

```

lemma typings-append:
  assumes CT;Γ ⊢+ es : Cs
  and CT;Γ ⊢ e : C
  shows CT;Γ ⊢+ (es@[e]) : (Cs@[C])
proof –
  have length es = length Cs using prems by(simp-all add:typings-lengths)
  thus CT;Γ ⊢+ (es@[e]) : (Cs@[C]) using prems
  proof(induct es Cs rule:list-induct2)
    have CT;Γ ⊢+ [][] by(simp add:typings-typing.ts-nil)
    moreover from prems have CT;Γ ⊢ e : C by simp
    ultimately show CT;Γ ⊢+ ([]@[e]) : ([]@[C]) by (auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons)
  next
    fix x xs y ys
    assume length xs = length ys
    and IH: [CT;Γ ⊢+ xs : ys; CT;Γ ⊢ e : C]  $\implies$  CT;Γ ⊢+ (xs @ [e]) : (ys @ [C])
    and x-xs-typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ (x # xs) : (y # ys)
    and e-typ: CT;Γ ⊢ e : C
    from x-xs-typs have x-typ: CT;Γ ⊢ x : y and CT;Γ ⊢+ xs : ys by(auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
    with IH e-typ have CT;Γ ⊢+ (xs@[e]) : (ys@[C]) by simp
    with x-typ have CT;Γ ⊢+ ((x#xs)@[e]) : ((y#ys)@[C]) by (auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons)
    thus CT;Γ ⊢+ ((x # xs) @ [e]) : ((y # ys) @ [C]) by(auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons)
  qed
qed

lemma ith-typing:  $\bigwedge Cs. \llbracket CT;Γ ⊢+ (es@(h#t)) : Cs \rrbracket \implies CT;Γ ⊢ h : (Cs!(length es))$ 
proof(induct es, auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
qed

lemma ith-subtyping:  $\bigwedge Ds. \llbracket CT ⊢+ (Cs@(h#t)) <: Ds \rrbracket \implies CT ⊢ h <: (Ds!(length Cs))$ 
proof(induct Cs, auto elim:subtypings.elims)
qed

lemma subtypings-refl: CT ⊢+ Cs <: Cs
by(induct Cs, auto simp add: subtyping.s-refl subtypings.intros)

lemma subtypings-trans:  $\bigwedge Ds Es. \llbracket CT ⊢+ Cs <: Ds; CT ⊢+ Ds <: Es \rrbracket \implies$ 
  CT ⊢+ Cs <: Es
proof(induct Cs)
  case Nil thus ?case
    by (auto elim:subtypings.elims simp add:subtypings.ss-nil)
  next
    case (Cons hCs tCs)

```

then obtain $hDs\ tDs$
where $h1:CT \vdash hCs <: hDs$ **and** $t1:CT \vdash+ tCs <: tDs$ **and** $Ds = hDs \# tDs$
by (auto elim:subtypings.elims)
then obtain $hEs\ tEs$
where $h2:CT \vdash hDs <: hEs$ **and** $t2:CT \vdash+ tDs <: tEs$ **and** $Es = hEs \# tEs$
using Cons **by** (auto elim:subtypings.elims)
moreover from subtyping.s-trans[*OF h1 h2*] **have** $CT \vdash hCs <: hEs$ **by** fastsimp
moreover with $t1\ t2$ **have** $CT \vdash+ tCs <: tEs$ **using** Cons **by** simp-all
ultimately show ?case **by** (auto simp add:subtypings.intros)
qed

lemma *ith-typing-sub*:
 $\bigwedge Cs. \llbracket CT;\Gamma \vdash+ (es @ (h \# t)) : Cs;$
 $CT;\Gamma \vdash h' : Ci';$
 $CT \vdash Ci' <: (Cs!(length es)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists Cs'. (CT;\Gamma \vdash+ (es @ (h' \# t)) : Cs' \wedge CT \vdash+ Cs' <: Cs)$
proof(induct es)
case Nil
then obtain $hCs\ tCs$
where $ts: CT;\Gamma \vdash+ t : tCs$
and $Cs\text{-def}: Cs = hCs \# tCs$ **by** (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
from $Cs\text{-def}$ Nil **have** $CT \vdash Ci' <: hCs$ **by** auto
with $Cs\text{-def}$ **have** $CT \vdash+ (Ci' \# tCs) <: Cs$ **by** (auto simp add:subtypings.ss-cons subtypings-refl)
moreover from ts Nil **have** $CT;\Gamma \vdash+ (h' \# t) : (Ci' \# tCs)$ **by** (auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons)
ultimately show ?case **by** auto
next
case (Cons eh et)
then obtain $hCs\ tCs$
where $CT;\Gamma \vdash eh : hCs$
and $CT;\Gamma \vdash+ (et @ (h \# t)) : tCs$
and $Cs\text{-def}: Cs = hCs \# tCs$
by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
moreover with Cons **obtain** tCs'
where $CT;\Gamma \vdash+ (et @ (h' \# t)) : tCs'$
and $CT \vdash+ tCs' <: tCs$
by auto
ultimately have
 $CT;\Gamma \vdash+ (eh \# (et @ (h' \# t))) : (hCs \# tCs')$
and $CT \vdash+ (hCs \# tCs') <: Cs$
by (auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons subtypings.ss-cons subtyping.s-refl)
thus ?case **by** auto
qed

lemma *mem-typings*:
 $\bigwedge Cs. \llbracket CT;\Gamma \vdash+ es:Cs; ei \in set es \rrbracket \implies \exists Ci. CT;\Gamma \vdash ei: Ci$
proof(induct es)
case Nil **thus** ?case **by** auto
next

```

case (Cons eh et) thus ?case
  by(cases ei=eh, auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
qed

lemma typings-proj:
  assumes CT;Γ ⊢+ ds : As
  and CT ⊢+ As <: Bs
  and length ds = length As
  and length ds = length Bs
  and i < length ds
  shows CT;Γ ⊢ ds!i : As!i and CT ⊢ As!i <: Bs!i
proof –
  show CT;Γ ⊢ ds!i : As!i and CT ⊢ As!i <: Bs!i
  using prems by (auto simp add:typings-index subtypings-index)
qed

lemma subtypings-length:
  CT ⊢+ As <: Bs  $\implies$  length As = length Bs
  by(induct rule:subtypings.induct,simp-all)

lemma not-subtypes-aux:
  assumes CT ⊢ C <: Da
  and C ≠ Da
  and CT C = Some CDef
  and cSuper CDef = D
  shows CT ⊢ D <: Da
  using prems
proof(induct rule:subtyping.induct, auto intro:subtyping.intros)
qed

lemma not-subtypes:
  assumes CT ⊢ A <: C
  shows  $\bigwedge D. \llbracket CT \vdash D \dashv\!<: C; CT \vdash C \dashv\!<: D \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash A \dashv\!<: D$ 
  using prems
proof(induct rule:subtyping.induct)
  case s-refl thus ?case by auto
next
  case (s-trans C CT D E Da)
  have da-nsub-d:CT ⊢ Da ≺: D proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg CT \vdash Da \dashv\!<: D$ 
    hence da-sub-d:CT ⊢ Da <: D by auto pr
    have d-sub-e:CT ⊢ D <: E using prems by fastsimp
    thus False using prems by (force simp add:subtyping.s-trans[OF da-sub-d d-sub-e])
  qed
  have d-nsub-da:CT ⊢ D ≺: Da using s-trans by auto
  from da-nsub-d d-nsub-da s-trans show CT ⊢ C ≺: Da by auto
next
  case (s-super C CDef CT D Da)

```

```

have  $C \neq Da$  proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg C \neq Da$ 
  hence  $C = Da$  by auto
  hence  $CT \vdash Da <: D$  using prems by(auto simp add: subtyping.s-super)
  thus False using prems by auto
qed
thus ?case using prems by (auto simp add: not-subtypes-aux)
qed

```

2.2.5 Sub-Expressions

```

lemma isubexpr-typing:
  assumes  $e1 \in isubexprs(e0)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge C. [[ CT; empty \vdash e0 : C ]] \implies \exists D. CT; empty \vdash e1 : D$ 
  using prems
proof(induct rule:isubexprs.induct, auto elim:typings-typing.elims simp add:mem-typings)
qed

lemma subexpr-typing:
  assumes  $e1 \in subexprs(e0)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge C. [[ CT; empty \vdash e0 : C ]] \implies \exists D. CT; empty \vdash e1 : D$ 
  using prems
by(induct rule:rtrancl.induct,auto,force simp add:isubexpr-typing)

lemma isubexpr-reduct:
  assumes  $d1 \in isubexprs(e1)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge d2. [[ CT \vdash d1 \rightarrow d2 ]] \implies \exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$ 
  using prems mem-ith
proof(induct,
  auto elim:isubexprs.elims intro:reduction.intros,
  force intro:reduction.intros,
  force intro:reduction.intros)
qed

lemma subexpr-reduct:
  assumes  $d1 \in subexprs(e1)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge d2. [[ CT \vdash d1 \rightarrow d2 ]] \implies \exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$ 
  using prems
proof(induct rule:rtrancl.induct,
  auto, force simp add: isubexpr-reduct)
qed

end

```

3 FJSound: Type Soundness

theory *FJSound imports FJAux*

begin

Type soundness is proved using the standard technique of progress and subject reduction. The numbered lemmas and theorems in this section correspond to the same results in the ACM TOPLAS paper.

3.1 Method Type and Body Connection

```

lemma mtype-mbody:
  assumes mtype(CT,m,C) = Cs → C0
  shows ∃ xs e. mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e ∧ length xs = length Cs
  using prems
  proof(induct rule:mtype.induct)
    case(mt-class C0 Cs C CDef CT m mDef)
    thus ?case
      by (force simp add:varDefs-types-def varDefs-names-def elim:mtype.elims
           intro:mbody.mb-class)
    next
      case(mt-super C0 Cs C CDef CT D m)
      then obtain xs e where mbody(CT,m,D) = xs . e and length xs = length Cs
    by auto
    thus ?case using mt-super by (auto intro:mbody.mb-super)
  qed

lemma mtype-mbody-length:
  assumes mt:mtype(CT,m,C) = Cs → C0
  and mb:mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e
  shows length xs = length Cs
  proof –
    from mtype-mbody[OF mt] obtain xs' e'
    where mb2: mbody(CT,m,C) = xs' . e'
    and length xs' = length Cs
    by auto
    with mbody-functional[OF mb mb2] show ?thesis by auto
  qed

```

3.2 Method Types and Field Declarations of Subtypes

```

lemma A-1-1:
  assumes CT ⊢ C <: D and CT OK
  shows (mtype(CT,m,D) = Cs → C0)  $\implies$  (mtype(CT,m,C) = Cs → C0)
  using prems proof (induct rule:subtyping.induct)
  case (s-refl C CT) show ?case by assumption
  next
  case (s-trans C CT D E) thus ?case by auto
  next
  case (s-super C CDef CT D)
  hence CT ⊢ CDef OK and cName CDef = C
  by(auto elim:ct-typing.elims)

```

```

with s-super obtain M
  where CT ⊢+ M OK IN C and cMethods CDef = M
    by(auto elim:class-typing.elims)
  let ?lookup-m = lookup M (λmd. (mName md = m))
  show ?case using prems
  proof(cases ∃ mDef. ?lookup-m = Some mDef)
  case True
    then obtain mDef where ?lookup-m = Some mDef by(rule exE)
    hence mDef-name: mName mDef = m by (rule lookup-true)
    have CT ⊢ mDef OK IN C using prems by(auto simp add:method-typings-lookup)
    then obtain CDef' m' D' Cs' C0'
      where CT C = Some CDef'
        and cSuper CDef' = D'
        and mName mDef = m'
        and mReturn mDef = C0'
        and varDefs-types (mParams mDef) = Cs'
        and ∀ Ds D0. (mtype(CT,m',D') = Ds → D0) → Cs' = Ds ∧ C0' = D0
      by (auto elim: method-typing.elims)
    with s-super mDef-name have
      CDef = CDef'
      and D = D'
      and m = m'
      and ∀ Ds D0. (mtype(CT,m,D) = Ds → D0) → Cs' = Ds ∧ C0' = D0
      using prems by auto
    thus ?thesis using prems by (auto intro:mtype.intros)
  next
  case False
    hence ?lookup-m = None by (simp add: lookup-split)
    show ?thesis using prems by (auto simp add:mtype.intros)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma sub-fields:
  assumes CT ⊢ C <: D
  shows ⋀ Dg. fields(CT,D) = Dg ⇒ ∃ Cf. fields(CT,C) = (Dg @ Cf)
  using prems proof(induct)
  case (s-refl C CT)
  hence fields(CT,C) = (Dg @ []) by simp
  thus ?case ..
  next
  case (s-trans C CT D E)
  then obtain Df Cf where fields(CT,C) = ((Dg @ Df) @ Cf) by force
  thus ?case by auto
  next
  case (s-super C CDef CT D Dg)
  then obtain Cf where cFields CDef = Cf by force
  with s-super have fields(CT,C) = (Dg @ Cf) by(simp add:f-class)
  thus ?case ..

```

qed

3.3 Substitution Lemma

```

lemma A-1-2:
  assumes CT OK
  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2$ 
  and  $\Gamma_2 = [xs \mapsto Bs]$ 
  and  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ds$ 
  and  $\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds$ 
  and  $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs$ 
  shows  $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es: Ds \implies \exists Cs. (CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ([ds/xs]es):Cs \wedge CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds)$  (is ?TYPINGS  $\implies$  ?P1)
    and  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e: D \implies \exists C. (CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash ((ds/xs)e):C \wedge CT \vdash C <: D)$  (is ?TYPING  $\implies$  ?P2)
  proof -
    let ?COMMON-ASMS =  $(CT \text{ OK}) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2) \wedge (\Gamma_2 = [xs \mapsto Bs]) \wedge (\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs)$ 
    have RESULT:  $(?TYPINGS \longrightarrow ?COMMON-ASMS \longrightarrow ?P1) \wedge (?TYPING \longrightarrow ?COMMON-ASMS \longrightarrow ?P2)$ 
  proof(induct rule:typings-typing.induct)
    case (ts-nil CT  $\Gamma$ )
    show ?case
    proof (rule impI)
      have  $(CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ([ds/xs]\[]):[]) \wedge (CT \vdash+ [] <: [])$ 
        by (auto simp add: typings-typing.intros subtypings.intros)
      from this show  $\exists Cs. (CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ([ds/xs]\[]):Cs) \wedge (CT \vdash+ Cs <: [])$  by auto
    qed
  next
    case (ts-cons C0 CT Cs'  $\Gamma$  e0 es)
    show ?case
    proof (rule impI)
      assume asms:  $(CT \text{ OK}) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2) \wedge (\Gamma_2 = [xs \mapsto Bs]) \wedge (\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs)$ 
      with ts-cons have e0-typ:  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0$  by fastsimp
      with ts-cons asms have
         $\exists C. (CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs) e0 : C) \wedge (CT \vdash C <: C0)$ 
        and  $\exists Cs. (CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ [ds/xs]es : Cs) \wedge (CT \vdash+ Cs <: Cs')$ 
        by auto
      then obtain C Cs where
         $(CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs) e0 : C) \wedge (CT \vdash C <: C0)$ 
        and  $(CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ [ds/xs]es : Cs) \wedge (CT \vdash+ Cs <: Cs')$  by auto
        hence  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ [ds/xs](e0\#es) : (C\#Cs)$ 
        and  $CT \vdash+ (C\#Cs) <: (C0\#Cs')$ 
        by (auto simp add: typings-typing.intros subtypings.intros)
      then show  $\exists Cs. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ \text{map}(\text{substs } [xs \mapsto ds])(e0 \# es) : Cs \wedge CT \vdash+ Cs <: (C0 \# Cs')$  by auto
    qed
  next

```

```

case (t-var C' CT Γ x)
show ?case
proof (rule impI)
  assume asms: (CT OK) ∧ ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \parallel \Gamma_2$ ) ∧ ( $\Gamma_2 = [xs \mapsto Bs]$ ) ∧ (length Bs = length ds) ∧ ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs$ )
  hence
    lengths: length ds = length Bs
    and G-def:  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \parallel \Gamma_2$ 
    and G2-def :  $\Gamma_2 = [xs \mapsto Bs]$  by auto
    from lengths G2-def have same-doms:  $dom([xs \mapsto ds]) = dom(\Gamma_2)$  by auto
    from asms show  $\exists C. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash substs [xs \mapsto ds] (Var x) : C \wedge CT \vdash C <: C'$ 
    proof (cases Γ2 x)
      case None
      with G-def t-var have G1-x: Γ1 x = Some C' by (simp add: map-add-Some-iff)
      from None same-doms have  $x \notin dom([xs \mapsto ds])$  by (auto simp only: domIff)

      hence  $[xs \mapsto ds]x = None$  by (auto simp only: map-add-Some-iff)
      hence  $(ds/xs)(Var x) = (Var x)$  by auto
      with G1-x have
         $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(Var x) : C' \text{ and } CT \vdash C' <: C'$ 
        by (auto simp add: typings-typing.intros subtyping.intros)
      thus ?thesis by auto
    next
      case (Some Bi)
      with G-def t-var have c'-eq-bi: C' = Bi by (auto simp add: map-add-SomeD)
        from prems have length xs = length Bs by simp
        with Some G2-def have  $\exists i. (Bs!i = Bi) \wedge (i < length Bs) \wedge (\forall l. ((length l = length Bs) \longrightarrow ([xs \mapsto l] x = Some (!i))))$ 
        by (auto simp add: map-upds-index)
        then obtain i where
          bs-i-proj: (Bs!i = Bi)
          and i-len: i < length Bs
          and  $P: (\bigwedge (l::exp\ list). ((length l = length Bs) \longrightarrow ([xs \mapsto l] x = Some (!i))))$ 
          by fastsimp
          from lengths P have subst-x: ([xs \mapsto ds]x = Some (ds!i)) by auto
          from prems obtain As where as-ex: CT; Γ1 ⊢+ ds : As ∧ CT ⊢+ As <: Bs by fastsimp
          hence length As = length Bs by (auto simp add: subtypings-length)
          hence proj-i: CT; Γ1 ⊢ ds!i : As!i ∧ CT ⊢ As!i <: Bs!i using i-len lengths as-ex by (auto simp add: typings-proj)
          hence CT; Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs)(Var x) : As!i ∧ CT ⊢ As!i <: C' using c'-eq-bi bs-i-proj subst-x by auto
          thus ?thesis ..
        qed
      qed
    next
      case(t-field C0 CT Cf Ci Γ e0 fDef fi)

```

```

show ?case
proof(rule impI)
  assume asms: ( $CT \text{ OK}$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma_2 = [xs \rightarrow] Bs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs$ )
    from t-field have flds: fields( $CT, C0$ ) =  $Cf$  by fastsimp
    from prems obtain C where e0-typ:  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C$  and sub:  $CT \vdash C <: C0$  by auto
      from sub-fields[ $OF$  sub flds] obtain Dg where flds-C: fields( $CT, C$ ) = ( $Cf @ Dg$ ) ..
        from t-field have lookup-CfDg: lookup ( $Cf @ Dg$ ) ( $\lambda fd. vdName fd = fi$ ) = Some fDef by(simp add:lookup-append)
        from e0-typ flds-C lookup-CfDg t-field have  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(FieldProj e0 fi) : Ci$  by(simp add: typings-typing.intros)
        moreover have  $CT \vdash Ci <: Ci$  by (simp add: subtyping.intros)
        ultimately show  $\exists C. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(FieldProj e0 fi) : C \wedge CT \vdash C <: Ci$  by auto
      qed
    next
    case(t-invk C C0 CT Cs Ds Γ e0 es m)
    show ?case
      proof(rule impI)
        assume asms: ( $CT \text{ OK}$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma_2 = [xs \rightarrow] Bs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs$ )
          hence ct-ok:  $CT \text{ OK}$  ..
          from t-invk have mtyp: mtype( $CT, m, C0$ ) =  $Ds \rightarrow C$ 
            and subs:  $CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$ 
            and lens: length es = length Ds
            by auto
          from prems obtain C' where e0-typ:  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$  and sub':  $CT \vdash C' <: C0$  by auto
            from prems obtain Cs' where es-typ:  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ [ds/xs]es : Cs'$  and sub':  $CT \vdash+ Cs' <: Cs$  by auto
              have subst-e:  $(ds/xs)(MethodInvk e0 m es) = MethodInvk ((ds/xs)e0) m ([ds/xs]es)$ 
                by(auto simp add: substs-subst-list1-subst-list2.simps subst-list1-eq-map-substs)
              from
                e0-typ
                A-1-1[ $OF$  sub' ct-ok mtyp]
                es-typ
                subtypings-trans[ $OF$  subs' subs]
                lens
                subst-e
              have  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(MethodInvk e0 m es) : C$  by(auto simp add: typings-typing.intros)
              moreover have  $CT \vdash C <: C$  by(simp add: subtyping.intros)
              ultimately show  $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(MethodInvk e0 m es) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$  by auto
            qed
          next
          case(t-new C CT Cs Df Ds Γ es)

```

```

show ?case
  proof(rule impI)
    assume asms: ( $CT \text{ OK}$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma_2 = [xs \rightarrow] Bs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs$ )
    hence ct-ok:  $CT \text{ OK} ..$ 
    from t-new have
      subs:  $CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$ 
      and flds:  $\text{fields}(CT, C) = Df$ 
      and len:  $\text{length } es = \text{length } Df$ 
      and vdts:  $\text{varDefs-types } Df = Ds$ 
      by auto
      from prems obtain Cs' where es-typ:  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ [ds/xs]es : Cs'$  and
      subs':  $CT \vdash+ Cs' <: Cs$  by auto
      have subst-e:  $(ds/xs)(\text{New } C es) = \text{New } C ([ds/xs]es)$ 
      by(auto simp add:substs-subst-list1-subst-list2.simps subst-list2-eq-map-substs)
      from es-typ subtypings-trans[OF subs' subs] flds subst-e len vdts
      have  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{New } C es) : C$  by(auto simp add: typings-typing.intros)
      moreover have  $CT \vdash C <: C$  by(simp add: subtyping.intros)
      ultimately show  $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{New } C es) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$ 
    by auto
    qed
  next
  case(t-ucast C CT D Γ e0)
  show ?case
    proof(rule impI)
      assume asms: ( $CT \text{ OK}$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma_2 = [xs \rightarrow] Bs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs$ )
      from prems obtain C' where e0-typ:  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$ 
      and sub1:  $CT \vdash C' <: D$ 
      and sub2:  $CT \vdash D <: C$  by auto
      from sub1 sub2 have  $CT \vdash C' <: C$  by (rule s-trans)
      with e0-typ have  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{Cast } C e0) : C$  by(auto simp add:
      typings-typing.intros)
      moreover have  $CT \vdash C <: C$  by (rule s-refl)
      ultimately show  $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{Cast } C e0) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$  by auto
    qed
  next
  case(t-dcast C CT D Γ e0)
  show ?case
    proof(rule impI)
      assume asms: ( $CT \text{ OK}$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma_2 = [xs \rightarrow] Bs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash+ ds : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: Bs$ )
      from prems obtain C' where e0-typ:  $CT; \Gamma_1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$  by auto
      have  $(CT \vdash C' <: C) \vee$ 
         $(C \neq C' \wedge CT \vdash C <: C') \vee$ 
         $(CT \vdash C \neg<: C' \wedge CT \vdash C' \neg<: C)$  by blast
      moreover
      { assume CT_vdash_C'_less_C:  $CT \vdash C' <: C$ 

```

```

    with e0-typ have CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs) (Cast C e0) : C by (auto simp add:
    typings-typing.intros)
  }
  moreover
  { assume (C ≠ C' ∧ CT ⊢ C <: C')
    with e0-typ have CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs) (Cast C e0) : C by (auto simp add:
    typings-typing.intros)
  }
  moreover
  { assume (CT ⊢ C ¬<: C' ∧ CT ⊢ C' ¬<: C)
    with e0-typ have CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs) (Cast C e0) : C by (auto simp add:
    typings-typing.intros)
  }
  ultimately have CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs) (Cast C e0) : C by auto
  moreover have CT ⊢ C <: C by(rule s-refl)
  ultimately show ∃ C'. CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs)(Cast C e0) : C' ∧ CT ⊢ C' <:
  C by auto
qed
next
case(t-scast C CT D Γ e0)
show ?case
proof(rule impI)
assume asms: (CT OK) ∧ (Γ = Γ1 ++ Γ2) ∧ (Γ2 = [xs ↣] Bs) ∧ (length
Bs = length ds) ∧ (∃ As. CT;Γ1 ⊢+ ds : As ∧ CT ⊢+ As <: Bs)
from prems obtain C' where e0-typ:CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs)e0 : C'
  and sub1: CT ⊢ C' <: D
  and nsub1: CT ⊢ C ¬<: D
  and nsub2: CT ⊢ D ¬<: C by auto
from not-subtypes[OF sub1 nsub1 nsub2] have CT ⊢ C' ¬<: C by fastsimp
moreover have CT ⊢ C ¬<: C' proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ CT ⊢ C ¬<: C'
  hence CT ⊢ C <: C' by auto
  hence CT ⊢ C <: D using sub1 by(rule s-trans)
  with nsub1 show False by auto
qed
ultimately have CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs) (Cast C e0) : C using e0-typ by (auto
simp add: typings-typing.intros)
thus ∃ C'. CT;Γ1 ⊢ (ds/xs)(Cast C e0) : C' ∧ CT ⊢ C' <: C by (auto
simp add: s-refl)
qed
qed
thus ?TYPINGS ==? P1 and ?TYPING ==? P2 using prems by auto
qed

```

3.4 Weakening Lemma

This lemma is not in the same form as in TOPLAS, but rather as we need it in subject reduction

lemma A-1-3:

```

shows  $(CT; \Gamma_2 \vdash+ es : Cs) \implies (CT; \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2 \vdash+ es : Cs)$  (is  $?P_1 \implies ?P_2$ )
and  $CT; \Gamma_2 \vdash e : C \implies CT; \Gamma_1 ++ \Gamma_2 \vdash e : C$  (is  $?Q_1 \implies ?Q_2$ )
proof –
  have  $(?P_1 \implies ?P_2) \wedge (?Q_1 \implies ?Q_2)$ 
  by(induct rule: typings-typing.induct, auto simp add: map-add-find-right typings-typing.intros)
  thus  $?P_1 \implies ?P_2$  and  $?Q_1 \implies ?Q_2$  by auto
qed

```

3.5 Method Body Typing Lemma

```

lemma A-1-4:
  assumes ct-ok:  $CT \text{ OK}$ 
  and  $mb:mbody(CT, m, C) = xs . e$ 
  and  $mt:mtype(CT, m, C) = Ds \rightarrow D$ 
  shows  $\exists D0 C0. (CT \vdash C <: D0) \wedge$ 
     $(CT \vdash C0 <: D) \wedge$ 
     $(CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds)(this \mapsto D0) \vdash e : C0)$ 
  using  $mb$  ct-ok  $mt$  proof(induct rule: mbody.induct)
  case ( $mb\text{-class } C CDef CT e m mDef xs$ )
  hence
     $m\text{-param: varDefs-types } (mParams mDef) = Ds$ 
    and  $m\text{-ret: mReturn } mDef = D$ 
    and  $CT \vdash CDef \text{ OK}$ 
    and  $cName CDef = C$ 
    by (auto elim: mtype.elims ct-typing.elims)
  hence  $CT \vdash+ (cMethods CDef) \text{ OK IN } C$  by (auto elim: class-typing.elims)
  hence  $CT \vdash mDef \text{ OK IN } C$  using  $mb\text{-class}$  by(auto simp add: method-typings-lookup)
  hence  $\exists E0. ((CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto C) \vdash e : E0) \wedge (CT \vdash E0 <: D)$ 
  using  $mb\text{-class } m\text{-param } m\text{-ret}$  by(auto elim: method-typing.elims)
  then obtain  $E0$ 
    where  $CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto C \vdash e : E0$ 
    and  $CT \vdash E0 <: D$ 
    and  $CT \vdash C <: C$  by (auto simp add: s-refl)
  thus  $?case$  by blast
next
  case ( $mb\text{-super } C CDef CT Da e m xs$ )
  hence ct:  $CT \text{ OK}$ 
  and  $IH: \llbracket CT \text{ OK}; (CT, m, Da, Ds, D) \in mtype \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists D0 C0. (CT \vdash Da <: D0) \wedge (CT \vdash C0 <: D)$ 
     $\wedge (CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto D0) \vdash e : C0)$  by fastsimp
  from  $mb\text{-super}$  have  $c\text{-sub-da}: CT \vdash C <: Da$  by (auto simp add: s-super)
  from  $mb\text{-super}$  have  $mt:mtype(CT, m, Da) = Ds \rightarrow D$  by (auto elim: mtype.elims)
  from  $IH[OF ct mt]$  obtain  $D0 C0$ 
    where  $s1: CT \vdash Da <: D0$ 
    and  $CT \vdash C0 <: D$ 
    and  $CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto D0 \vdash e : C0$  by auto
  thus  $?case$  using  $s\text{-trans}[OF c\text{-sub-da } s1]$  by blast
qed

```

3.6 Subject Reduction Theorem

theorem *Thm-2-4-1*:

assumes $CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'$

and $CT \text{ OK}$

shows $\bigwedge C. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C \rrbracket$

$$\implies \exists C'. (CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C)$$

using *prems proof(induct rule: reduction.induct)*

case (*r-field Ca CT Cf e' es fi*)

hence $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{FieldProj} (\text{New Ca es}) fi : C$

and *ct-ok: CT OK*

and *flds: fields(CT, Ca) = Cf*

and *lkup2: lookup2 Cf es (λfd. vdName fd = fi) = Some e' by fastsimp*

then obtain *Ca' Cf' fDef*

where *new-typ: CT; Γ ⊢ New Ca es : Ca'*

and *flds': fields(CT, Ca') = Cf'*

and *lkup: lookup Cf' (λfd. vdName fd = fi) = Some fDef*

and *C-def: vdType fDef = C by (auto elim: typings-typing.elims)*

hence *Ca-Ca': Ca = Ca' by (auto elim: typings-typing.elims)*

with *flds' have Cf-Cf': Cf = Cf' by(simp add:fields-functional[OF flds ct-ok])*

from *new-typ obtain Cs Ds Cf''*

where *fields(CT, Ca') = Cf''*

and *es-typs: CT; Γ ⊢+ es: Cs*

and *Ds-def: varDefs-types Cf'' = Ds*

and *length-Cf-es: length Cf'' = length es*

and *subs: CT ⊢+ Cs <: Ds*

by (*auto elim: typings-typing.elims*)

with *Ca-Ca' have Cf-Cf'': Cf = Cf'' by(auto simp add:fields-functional[OF flds ct-ok])*

from *length-Cf-es Cf-Cf'' lookup2-index[OF lkup2] obtain i where*

i-bound: *i < length es*

and *e' = es!i*

and *lookup Cf (λfd. vdName fd = fi) = Some (Cf!i) by auto*

moreover with *C-def Ds-def lkup lkup2 have Ds!i = C using Ca-Ca' Cf-Cf' Cf-Cf'' i-bound length-Cf-es flds'*

by (*auto simp add:nth-map varDefs-types-def fields-functional[OF flds ct-ok]*)

moreover with *subs es-typs have*

CT; Γ ⊢ (es!i):(Cs!i) and CT ⊢ (Cs!i) <: (Ds!i) using i-bound

by (*auto simp add:typings-index subtypings-index typings-lengths*)

ultimately show ?case by auto

next

case (*r-invkt Ca CT ds e e' es m xs*)

from *r-invkt have mb: mbody(CT, m, Ca) = xs . e by fastsimp*

from *r-invkt obtain Ca' Ds Cs*

where *CT; Γ ⊢ New Ca es : Ca'*

and *mtype(CT, m, Ca') = Cs → C*

and *ds-typs: CT; Γ ⊢+ ds : Ds*

and *Ds-subs: CT ⊢+ Ds <: Cs*

and *l1: length ds = length Cs by(auto elim: typings-typing.elims)*

hence *new-typ: CT; Γ ⊢ New Ca es : Ca*

```

and  $mtype(CT, m, Ca) = Cs \rightarrow C$  by (auto elim: typings-typing.elims)
from ds-typs new-typ have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (ds @ [New Ca es]) : (Ds @ [Ca])$  by (simp add: typings-append)
moreover from A-1-4[ $OF - mb\ mt$ ] r-invk obtain  $Da\ E$ 
  where  $CT \vdash Ca <: Da$ 
  and  $E\text{-sub-}C: CT \vdash E <: C$ 
  and  $e0\text{-typ1}: CT; [xs[\rightarrow]Cs, this \mapsto Da] \vdash e : E$  by auto
  moreover with Ds-subs have  $CT \vdash+ (Ds @ [Ca]) <: (Cs @ [Da])$  by (auto simp add: subtyping-append)
  ultimately have  $ex: \exists As. CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (ds @ [New Ca es]) : As \wedge CT \vdash+ As <: (Cs @ [Da])$  by auto
    from e0-typ1 have e0-typ2:  $CT; (\Gamma ++ [xs[\rightarrow]Cs, this \mapsto Da]) \vdash e : E$  by (simp only: A-1-3)
    from e0-typ2 mtype-mbody-length[ $OF\ mt\ mb$ ] have e0-typ3:  $CT; (\Gamma ++ [(xs @ [this])[\rightarrow] (Cs @ [Da])]) \vdash e : E$  by (force simp only: map-shuffle)
    let ? $\Gamma 1 = \Gamma$  and ? $\Gamma 2 = [(xs @ [this])[\rightarrow] (Cs @ [Da])]$ 
    have g-def:  $(? \Gamma 1 ++ ? \Gamma 2) = (? \Gamma 1 ++ ? \Gamma 2)$  and g2-def:  $? \Gamma 2 = ? \Gamma 2$  by auto
    from A-1-2[ $OF - g\text{-def}\ g2\text{-def} - - ex$ ] e0-typ3 r-invk l1 mtype-mbody-length[ $OF\ mt\ mb$ ] obtain  $E'$ 
      where  $e'\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma \vdash substs [(xs @ [this])[\rightarrow] (ds @ [New Ca es])] e : E'$ 
      and  $E'\text{-sub-}E: CT \vdash E' <: E$  by force
      moreover from e'-typ l1 mtype-mbody-length[ $OF\ mt\ mb$ ] have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash substs [xs[\rightarrow]ds, this \mapsto (New Ca es)] e : E'$  by (auto simp only: map-shuffle)
      moreover from E'-sub-E E-sub-C have  $CT \vdash E' <: C$  by (rule subtyping.s-trans)
      ultimately show ?case using r-invk by auto
next
  case (r-cast Ca CT D es)
  then obtain  $Ca'$ 
    where  $C = D$ 
    and  $CT; \Gamma \vdash New Ca es : Ca'$  by (auto elim: typings-typing.elims)
  thus ?case using r-cast by (auto elim: typings-typing.elims)
next
  case (rc-field CT e0 e0' f)
  then obtain  $C0\ Cf\ fd$ 
    where  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0$ 
    and Cf-def:  $fields(CT, C0) = Cf$ 
    and fd-def:  $lookup Cf (\lambda fd. (vdName fd = f)) = Some fd$ 
    and vdType fd = C
    by (auto elim: typings-typing.elims)
  moreover with rc-field obtain  $C'$ 
    where  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0' : C'$ 
    and  $CT \vdash C' <: C0$  by auto
  moreover from sub-fields[ $OF - Cf\text{-def}$ ] obtain  $Cf'$ 
    where  $fields(CT, C') = (Cf @ Cf')$  ..
  moreover with fd-def have  $lookup (Cf @ Cf') (\lambda fd. (vdName fd = f)) = Some fd$ 
    by (simp add: lookup-append)
  ultimately have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash FieldProj e0' f : C$  by (auto simp add: typings-typing.t-field)

```

```

thus ?case by (auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl)
next
  case (rc-invok-recv CT e0 e0' es m C)
  then obtain C0 Ds Cs
    where ct-ok:CT OK
    and CT;Γ ⊢ e0 : C0
    and mt:mtype(CT,m,C0) = Ds → C
    and CT;Γ ⊢+ es : Cs
    and length es = length Ds
    and CT ⊢+ Cs <: Ds
    by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
  moreover with rc-invok-recv obtain C0'
    where CT;Γ ⊢ e0' : C0'
    and CT ⊢ C0' <: C0 by auto
  moreover with A-1-1[OF - ct-ok mt] have mtype(CT,m,C0') = Ds → C by
simp
ultimately have CT;Γ ⊢ MethodInvk e0' m es : C by (auto simp add:typings-typing.t-invk)
thus ?case by (auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl)
next
  case (rc-invok-arg CT e0 ei ei' el er m C)
  then obtain Cs Ds C0
    where typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ (el@(ei#er)) : Cs
    and e0-typ: CT;Γ ⊢ e0 : C0
    and mt: mtype(CT,m,C0) = Ds → C
    and Cs-sub-Ds: CT ⊢+ Cs <: Ds
    and len: length (el@(ei#er)) = length Ds
    by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
  hence CT;Γ ⊢ ei:(Cs!(length el)) by (simp add:ith-typing)
  with rc-invok-arg obtain Ci'
    where ei-typ: CT;Γ ⊢ ei':Ci'
    and Ci-sub: CT ⊢ Ci' <: (Cs!(length el))
    by auto
  from ith-typing-sub[OF typs ei-typ Ci-sub] obtain Cs'
    where es'-typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ (el@(ei'#er)) : Cs'
    and Cs'-sub-Cs: CT ⊢+ Cs' <: Cs by auto
  from len have length (el@(ei'#er)) = length Ds by simp
  with es'-typs subtypings-trans[OF Cs'-sub-Cs Cs-sub-Ds] e0-typ mt have
    CT;Γ ⊢ MethodInvk e0 m (el@(ei'#er)) : C
    by (auto simp add:typings-typing.t-invk)
  thus ?case by (auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl)
next
  case (rc-new-arg Ca CT ei ei' el er C)
  then obtain Cs Df Ds
    where typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ (el@(ei#er)) : Cs
    and flds: fields(CT,C) = Df
    and len: length (el@(ei#er)) = length Df
    and Ds-def: varDefs-types Df = Ds
    and Cs-sub-Ds: CT ⊢+ Cs <: Ds
    and C-def: Ca = C

```

```

by(auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
hence  $CT; \Gamma \vdash ei:(Cs!(length el))$  by (simp add:ith-typing)
with rc-new-arg obtain  $Ci'$ 
where  $ei\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma \vdash ei': Ci'$ 
and  $Ci\text{-sub}: CT \vdash Ci' <: (Cs!(length el))$ 
by auto
from ith-typing-sub[ $OF$  typs  $ei\text{-typ}$   $Ci\text{-sub}$ ] obtain  $Cs'$ 
where  $es'\text{-typs}: CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (el@(ei'\#er)) : Cs'$ 
and  $Cs'\text{-sub-}Cs: CT \vdash+ Cs' <: Cs$  by auto
from len have length  $(el@(ei'\#er)) = length Df$  by simp
with es'-typs subtyping-trans[ $OF$   $Cs'\text{-sub-}Cs$   $Cs\text{-sub-}Ds$ ] flds  $Ds\text{-def}$   $C\text{-def}$  have
 $CT; \Gamma \vdash New Ca (el@(ei'\#er)) : C$ 
by(auto simp add:typings-typing.t-new)
thus ?case by (auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl)
next
case (rc-cast  $C$   $CT$   $e0$   $e0'$   $Ca$ )
then obtain  $D$ 
where  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D$ 
and  $Ca\text{-def}: Ca = C$ 
by(auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
with rc-cast obtain  $D'$ 
where  $e0'\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma \vdash e0': D'$  and  $CT \vdash D' <: D$ 
by auto
have  $(CT \vdash D' <: C) \vee$ 
 $(C \neq D' \wedge CT \vdash C <: D') \vee$ 
 $(CT \vdash C \neg<: D' \wedge CT \vdash D' \neg<: C)$  by blast
moreover {
assume  $CT \vdash D' <: C$ 
with  $e0'\text{-typ}$  have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$  by (auto simp add:typings-typing.t-ucast)
} moreover {
assume  $(C \neq D' \wedge CT \vdash C <: D')$ 
with  $e0'\text{-typ}$  have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$  by (auto simp add:typings-typing.t-dcast)
} moreover {
assume  $(CT \vdash C \neg<: D' \wedge CT \vdash D' \neg<: C)$ 
with  $e0'\text{-typ}$  have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$  by (auto simp add:typings-typing.t-scast)
} ultimately have  $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$  by auto
thus ?case using  $Ca\text{-def}$  by (auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl)
qed

```

3.7 Multi-Step Subject Reduction Theorem

corollary Cor-2-4-1-multi:
assumes $CT \vdash e \rightarrow^* e'$
and CT OK
shows $\bigwedge C. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C \rrbracket \implies \exists C'. (CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C)$
using prems **proof** induct
case (rs-refl CT e C) **thus** ?case by (auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl)
next
case(rs-trans CT e e' e'' C)

```

hence e-typ:  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$ 
and e-step:  $CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'$ 
and ct-ok:  $CT \text{ OK}$ 
and IH:  $\bigwedge D. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : D; CT \text{ OK} \rrbracket \implies \exists E. CT; \Gamma \vdash e'' : E \wedge CT \vdash E <: D$ 
by auto
from Thm-2-4-1[ $OF$  e-step ct-ok e-typ] obtain D where e'-typ:  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : D$ 
and D-sub-C:  $CT \vdash D <: C$  by auto
with IH[ $OF$  e'-typ ct-ok] obtain E where  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e'' : E$  and E-sub-D:  $CT \vdash E <: D$  by auto
moreover from s-trans[ $OF$  E-sub-D D-sub-C] have  $CT \vdash E <: C$  by auto
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

3.8 Progress

The two "progress lemmas" proved in the TOPLAS paper alone are not quite enough to prove type soundness. We prove an additional lemma showing that every well-typed expression is either a value or contains a potential redex as a sub-expression.

```

theorem Thm-2-4-2-1:
assumes  $CT; \text{empty} \vdash e : C$ 
and FieldProj ( $New C0 es$ ) fi  $\in \text{subexprs}(e)$ 
shows  $\exists Cf fDef. \text{fields}(CT, C0) = Cf \wedge \text{lookup } Cf (\lambda fd. (vdName fd = fi)) = \text{Some } fDef$ 
proof –
obtain Ci where  $CT; \text{empty} \vdash (\text{FieldProj} (New C0 es) fi) : Ci$ 
using prems by (force simp add:subexpr-typing)
then obtain Cf fDef C0'
where  $CT; \text{empty} \vdash (New C0 es) : C0'$ 
and fields( $CT, C0'$ ) = Cf
and lookup Cf ( $\lambda fd. (vdName fd = fi)$ ) = Some fDef
by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
thus ?thesis by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
qed

```

```

lemma Thm-2-4-2-2:
assumes  $CT; \text{empty} \vdash e : C$ 
and MethodInvk ( $New C0 es$ ) m ds  $\in \text{subexprs}(e)$ 
shows  $\exists xs e0. \text{mbody}(CT, m, C0) = xs . e0 \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{length } ds$ 
proof –
obtain D where  $CT; \text{empty} \vdash \text{MethodInvk} (New C0 es) m ds : D$ 
using prems by (force simp add:subexpr-typing)
then obtain C0' Cs
where  $CT; \text{empty} \vdash (New C0 es) : C0'$ 
and mt:mtype( $CT, m, C0'$ ) = Cs  $\rightarrow$  D
and length ds = length Cs
by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)

```

```

with mtype-mbody[OF mt] show ?thesis by (force elim:typings-typing.elims)
qed

lemma closed-subterm-split:
assumes CT;Γ ⊢ e : C and Γ = empty
shows
((∃ C0 es fi. (FieldProj (New C0 es) fi) ∈ subexprs(e))
 ∨ (∃ C0 es m ds. (MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds) ∈ subexprs(e)))
 ∨ (∃ C0 D es. (Cast D (New C0 es)) ∈ subexprs(e))
 ∨ val(e)) (is ?F e ∨ ?M e ∨ ?C e ∨ ?V e is ?IH e)
using prems proof(induct CT Γ e C rule:typing-induct)
  case 1 thus ?case using prems by auto
next
  case (? C CT Γ x) thus ?case by auto
next
  case (? C0 Ct Cf Ci Γ e0 fDef fi)
  have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(FieldProj e0 fi) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
  from ? have ?IH e0 by auto
  moreover
  { assume ?F e0
    then obtain C0 es fi' where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi' ∈ subexprs(e0) by
    auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?M e0
    then obtain C0 es m ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subexprs(e0) by
    auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?C e0
    then obtain C0 D es where s2: Cast D (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?V e0
    then obtain C0 es where e0 = (New C0 es) and vals(es) by (force elim:vals-val.elims)
    hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
  }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case (? C C0 CT Cs Ds Γ e0 es m)
  have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(MethodInvk e0 m es) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
  from ? have ?IH e0 by auto
  moreover
  { assume ?F e0
    then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
    auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?M e0
  }

```

```

then obtain C0 es' m' ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es') m' ds ∈
subexprs(e0) by auto
from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
assume ?C e0
then obtain C0 D es where s2: Cast D (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by auto
from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
assume ?V e0
then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
elim:vals-val.elims)
hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
}
ultimately show ?case by blast
next
case (5 C CT Cs Df Ds Γ es)
hence
length es = length Cs
 $\wedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } es; CT; \Gamma \vdash (es!i) : (Cs!i); \Gamma = \text{empty} \rrbracket \implies ?IH (es!i)$ 
and CT;Γ ⊢+ es : Cs
by (auto simp add: typings-lengths)
hence ( $\exists i < \text{length } es. (?F (es!i) \vee ?M (es!i) \vee ?C (es!i)) \vee (\text{vals}(es))$ ) (is ?Q
es)
proof(induct es Cs rule:list-induct2)
case 1 thus ?Q [] by(auto intro:vals-val.intros)
next
case (2 h t Ch Ct)
hence h-t-typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ (h#t) : (Ch#Ct)
and OIH:  $\wedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } (h\#t); CT; \Gamma \vdash ((h\#t)!i) : ((Ch\#Ct)!i); \Gamma = \text{empty} \rrbracket \implies ?IH ((h\#t)!i)$ 
and G-def:  $\Gamma = \text{empty}$ 
by auto
from h-t-typs have
h-typ: CT;Γ ⊢ (h#t)!0 : (Ch#Ct)!0
and t-typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ t : Ct
by(auto elim: typings-typing.elims)
{ fix i assume i < length t
hence s-i: Suc i < length (h#t) by auto
from OIH[OF s-i] have  $\llbracket i < \text{length } t; CT; \Gamma \vdash (t!i) : (Ct!i); \Gamma = \text{empty} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies ?IH (t!i) \text{ by auto }$ 
with t-typs have ?Q t using 2 by auto
moreover {
assume  $\exists i < \text{length } t. (?F (t!i) \vee ?M (t!i) \vee ?C (t!i))$ 
then obtain i
where i < length t
and ?F (t!i)  $\vee$  ?M (t!i)  $\vee$  ?C (t!i) by force
hence (Suc i < length (h#t))  $\wedge$  (?F ((h#t)!(Suc i))  $\vee$  ?M ((h#t)!(Suc i))
 $\vee$  ?C ((h#t)!(Suc i))) by auto
hence  $\exists i < \text{length } (h\#t). (?F ((h\#t)!i) \vee ?M ((h\#t)!i) \vee ?C ((h\#t)!i))$ 

```

```

..
  hence ?Q (h#t) by auto
} moreover {
  assume v-t: vals(t)
  from OIH[OF - h-typ G-def] have ?IH h by auto
  moreover
  { assume ?F h ∨ ?M h ∨ ?C h
    hence ?F ((h#t)!0) ∨ ?M ((h#t)!0) ∨ ?C ((h#t)!0) by auto
    hence ?Q (h#t) by force
  } moreover {
    assume ?V h
    with v-t have vals((h#t)) by (force intro:vals-val.intros)
    hence ?Q(h#t) by auto
  } ultimately have ?Q(h#t) by blast
  } ultimately show ?Q(h#t) by blast
qed
moreover {
  assume ∃ i < length es. ?F (es!i) ∨ ?M (es!i) ∨ ?C(es!i)
  then obtain i where i-len: i < length es and r: ?F (es!i) ∨ ?M (es!i) ∨
?C(es!i) by force
  from ith-mem[OF i-len] have s1:es!i ∈ subexprs(New C es) by(auto intro:isubexprs.se-newarg)
  { assume ?F (es!i)
    then obtain C0 es' fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es') fi ∈ subexprs(es!i)
    by auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?F(New C es) ∨ ?M(New C es) ∨
?C(New C es) by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?M (es!i)
    then obtain C0 es' m' ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es') m' ds ∈
subexprs(es!i) by force
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?F(New C es) ∨ ?M(New C es) ∨
?C(New C es) by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?C (es!i)
    then obtain C0 D es' where s2: Cast D (New C0 es') ∈ subexprs(es!i)
    by auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?F(New C es) ∨ ?M(New C es) ∨
?C(New C es) by auto
  } ultimately have ?F(New C es) ∨ ?M(New C es) ∨ ?C(New C es) using
r by blast
  hence ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume vals(es)
  hence ?case by(auto intro:vals-val.intros)
} ultimately show ?case by blast
next
case (6 C CT D Γ e0)
have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(Cast C e0) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)

```

```

from 6 have ?IH e0 by auto
moreover
{ assume ?F e0
  then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?M e0
  then obtain C0 es m ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subex-
prs(e0) by auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?C e0
  then obtain C0 D' es where s2: Cast D' (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by
auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?V e0
  then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
elim:vals-val.elims)
  hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
}
ultimately show ?case by blast
next
case (? C CT D Γ e0)
have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(Cast C e0) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
from 7 have ?IH e0 by auto
moreover
{ assume ?F e0
  then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?M e0
  then obtain C0 es m ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subex-
prs(e0) by auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?C e0
  then obtain C0 D' es where s2: Cast D' (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by
auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?V e0
  then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
elim:vals-val.elims)
  hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
}
ultimately show ?case by blast

```

```

next
  case ( $\lambda C CT D \Gamma e0$ )
    have  $s1: e0 \in \text{subexprs}(\text{Cast } C e0)$  by (auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
    from  $\lambda$  have  $?IH e0$  by auto
    moreover
    { assume  $?F e0$ 
      then obtain  $C0 es fi$  where  $s2: \text{FieldProj} (\text{New } C0 es) fi \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$  by
      auto
      from rtrancl-trans[ $OF s2 s1$ ] have  $?case$  by auto
    } moreover {
      assume  $?M e0$ 
      then obtain  $C0 es m ds$  where  $s2: \text{MethodInvk} (\text{New } C0 es) m ds \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$  by
      auto
      from rtrancl-trans[ $OF s2 s1$ ] have  $?case$  by auto
    } moreover {
      assume  $?C e0$ 
      then obtain  $C0 D' es$  where  $s2: \text{Cast } D' (\text{New } C0 es) \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$  by
      auto
      from rtrancl-trans[ $OF s2 s1$ ] have  $?case$  by auto
    } moreover {
      assume  $?V e0$ 
      then obtain  $C0 es'$  where  $e0 = (\text{New } C0 es')$  and  $\text{vals}(es') \text{ by } (\text{force}$ 
      elim:vals-val.elims)
      hence  $?case$  by (force intro:isubexprs.intros)
    }
    ultimately show  $?case$  by blast
  qed

```

3.9 Type Soundness Theorem

theorem Thm-2-4-3:

assumes $e\text{-typ}: CT; empty \vdash e : C$
and $ct\text{-ok}: CT \text{ OK}$
and $\text{multisteps}: CT \vdash e \rightarrow^* e1$
and $\text{no-step}: \neg(\exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2)$
shows $(\text{val}(e1) \wedge (\exists D. CT; empty \vdash e1 : D \wedge CT \vdash D <: C))$
 $\vee (\exists D C es. (\text{Cast } D (\text{New } C es) \in \text{subexprs}(e1) \wedge CT \vdash C \neg<: D))$

proof –

from prems Cor-2-4-1-multi[$OF \text{ multisteps } ct\text{-ok } e\text{-typ}$] **obtain** $C1$
where $e1\text{-typ}: CT; empty \vdash e1 : C1$
and $C1\text{-sub-}C: CT \vdash C1 <: C$ **by** auto
from $e1\text{-typ}$ **have** $((\exists C0 es fi. (\text{FieldProj} (\text{New } C0 es) fi) \in \text{subexprs}(e1))$
 $\vee (\exists C0 es m ds. (\text{MethodInvk} (\text{New } C0 es) m ds) \in \text{subexprs}(e1))$
 $\vee (\exists C0 D es. (\text{Cast } D (\text{New } C0 es)) \in \text{subexprs}(e1))$
 $\vee \text{val}(e1))$ **(is** $?F e1 \vee ?M e1 \vee ?C e1 \vee ?V e1$ **) by** (simp add:
closed-subterm-split)
moreover
{ **assume** $?F e1$
then obtain $C0 es fi$ **where** $fp: \text{FieldProj} (\text{New } C0 es) fi \in \text{subexprs}(e1)$ **by**

```

auto
then obtain Ci where CT;empty ⊢ FieldProj (New C0 es) fi : Ci using e1-typ
by(force simp add:subexpr-typing)
then obtain C0' where new-typ: CT;empty ⊢ New C0 es : C0' by (force elim:
typings-typing.elims)
hence C0 = C0' by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
with new-typ obtain Df where f1: fields(CT,C0) = Df and lens: length es =
length Df by(auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
from Thm-2-4-2-1[OF e1-typ fp] obtain Cf fDef
where f2: fields(CT,C0) = Cf
and lkup: lookup Cf (λfd. vdName fd = fi) = Some(fDef) by force
moreover from fields-functional[OF f1 ct-ok f2] lens have length es = length
Cf by auto
moreover from lookup-index[OF lkup] obtain i where
i < length Cf
and fDef = Cf ! i
and (length Cf = length es) —> lookup2 Cf es (λfd. vdName fd = fi) = Some
(es ! i) by auto
ultimately have lookup2 Cf es (λfd. vdName fd = fi) = Some (es!i) by auto
with f2 have CT ⊢ FieldProj(New C0 es) fi → (es!i) by(auto intro:reduction.intros)
with fp have ∃ e2. CT ⊢ e1 → e2 by(simp add:subexpr-reduct)
with no-step have ?thesis by auto
} moreover {
assume ?M e1
then obtain C0 es m ds where mi:MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subexprs(e1)
by auto
then obtain D where CT;empty ⊢ MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds : D using
e1-typ by(force simp add:subexpr-typing)
then obtain C0' Es E
where m-typ: CT;empty ⊢ New C0 es : C0'
and mtype(CT,m,C0') = Es → E
and length ds = length Es
by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
from Thm-2-4-2-2[OF e1-typ mi] obtain xs e0 where mb: mbody(CT, m, C0)
= xs . e0 and length xs = length ds by auto
hence CT ⊢ (MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds) → (substs[xs[→]ds, this[→](New C0
es)]e0) by(auto simp add:reduction.intros)
with mi have ∃ e2. CT ⊢ e1 → e2 by(simp add:subexpr-reduct)
with no-step have ?thesis by auto
} moreover {
assume ?C e1
then obtain C0 D es where c-def: Cast D (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e1) by
auto
then obtain D' where CT;empty ⊢ Cast D (New C0 es) : D' using e1-typ by
(force simp add:subexpr-typing)
then obtain C0' where new-typ: CT;empty ⊢ New C0 es : C0' and D-eq-D':
D = D' by (auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
hence C0-eq-C0': C0 = C0' by(auto elim:typings-typing.elims)
hence ?thesis proof(cases CT ⊢ C0 <: D)

```

```

case True
  hence  $CT \vdash Cast D (New C0 es) \rightarrow (New C0 es)$  by(auto simp add:reduction.intros)
    with c-def have  $\exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$  by (simp add:subexpr-reduct)
    with no-step show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    with c-def show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  } moreover {
    assume ?V e1
    hence ?thesis using prems by(auto simp add:Cor-2-4-1-multi)
  } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

end

```

References

- [1] A. Igarashi, B. C. Pierce, and P. Wadler. Featherweight Java: A minimal core calculus for Java and GJ. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 23(3):396–450, 2001.
- [2] T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL — A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*, volume 2283. 2002. <http://www.in.tum.de/~nipkow/LNCS2283/>.